

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,812	03/06/2002	Jean-Jacques Avenel	01200.551	2106
	05/50/2007		EXAM	INER
10/018,812 03/06/2002 Jean-Jacques Avenel 01200.551  7590 03/30/2004 EXAMINER Liniak Berenato Longacre & White 6550 Rock Spring Drive Suite 240 Bethesda, MD 20817  ART UNIT 1 3661	EZ, OLGA			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/018,812	AVENEL, JEAN-JACQU	IES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DAYS AND	Olga Hernandez	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fet	hruani 2004		
0 1 <del>0 1 -                              </del>	action is non-final.		
	oction is non-linal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	nade Ougulo 1025 O.5	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
	parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	v. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawr	from consideration		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are allowed.	Thom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,16,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-13</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement		
Application Papers	ere en en requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing	s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1 121	1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	. ,
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	iority under 05 to 0.5	4404 \ \ 410 \	
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	ionty under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	iouo haare ee		
E service depice of the priority documents in	lave been received.		
— and phoney documents in	ave been received in Ap	pplication No	
- I some sopios of the priority	accuments have been i	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (F	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	tne certified copies not r	eceived.	
tachment(s)			
	—	mmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview Su		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s).	Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s).	Mail Date  Drmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach, "that motion detects trigger any actuator mechanism of an open panel of the vehicle." The examiner disagrees. The prior art teaches "a door controller for moving the door between open and closed positions." Where the door is the panel.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flick (6,144,315).

As per claims 1 and 16, Flick teaches:

- a control system allowing the user to act remotely on a panel opening actuator mechanism secured to an openable panel of the vehicle (figures 2-6 and abstract);
- the control system comprising a means for controlling at least one action of the actuator mechanism, when a motion is remotely sensed by way of a motion sensor, along a favored axis of detection of motion of this

Art Unit: 3661

sensor and characterized in that this motion corresponds to a predetermined motion, the motor vehicle being a land vehicle (column 1, lines 23-30, column 4, lines 55-64 and column 9, lines 30-44).

As per claim 2, Flick discloses controlling at least one action of the actuator mechanism (column 4, lines 23-30), on the basis of the signals produced by motion sensors (column 1, lines 27-30), when a motion detected by way of these sensors along their respective favored axes is manifested as a specified motion along a resultant axis whose orientation is dependant on the achieved combination sensors (column 9, lines 30-44).

As per claim 17, Flick teaches sensing a location of the predetermined signal and causes the actuator to change a position of the panel (column 4, lines 48-67 and column 10, lines 21-35).

As per claim 19, Flick teaches the motion corresponding to a motion signal to the sensing system to cause the actuator to change position of the panel (column 4, lines 55-67 and figures 3 and 6).

As per claim 20, Flick teaches the control signal is generated without physical actuation of the control member so that there is hands free actuation of the control panel (column 4, lines 55-67).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 14 and 15 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 3-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,812

Art Unit: 3661

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 3661

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600